

REMARKS

On December 10, 2007, Examiner Snow and the undersigned attorney conducted a telephone interview to discuss the PTO communication mailed November 9, 2007. It was determined, and both parties agreed, that the communication was a duplicate of a previously-mailed PTO communication (to which Applicant had previously responded) and that no substantive response was required. At the suggestion of Examiner Snow, Applicant electronically filed a Response to PTO Communication with the Patent Office on the afternoon of December 10, 2007, (in response to the PTO communication mailed November 9, 2007) summarizing the telephone interview of December 10, 2007.

Examiner Snow then mailed an Interview Summary on December 17, 2007, summarizing the telephone interview of December 10, 2007. Examiner Snow's summary of the interview paralleled Applicant's summary of the interview as set forth in Applicant's Response to PTO Communication filed on December 10, 2007. The Interview Summary submitted by Examiner Snow states that Applicant must file a "statement of the substance of the interview." Applicant respectfully asserts that the present Remarks as well as the Remarks in Applicant's Response to PTO Communication filed on December 10, 2007, satisfy this requirement.

Applicant is including a listing of claims in the present Response to Interview Summary for Examiner Snow's convenience. The listing of claims in the present Response to Interview Summary includes the claims as amended in Applicant's Response to Office Action dated August 13, 2007, including the new claims which were presented therein.

If the Examiner feels that any issues remain, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

Marta L. Paul
Marta L. Paul, Reg. No. 57,256
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty,
McNett & Henry LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137
Tel.: (317) 634-3456

4002-3269.MLP:503888